

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED IT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/784,139	02/20/2004	D. Peter Beirne JR.	3759600-144128	5359	
23570	7590 08/28/2006		EXAMINER		
	/RIGHT MORRIS & AR	KENNEDY, JOSHUA T			
	'UAL PROPERTY GROUP HIGH STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
28TH FLOOR			3679		
COLUMBUS	S, OH 43215	DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/784,13	10/784,139		BEIRNE ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit	T			
		Joshua T.	Kennedy	3679	16			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHC WHICI - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply weply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF TH f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evo nication. utory period will apply and wi iil, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					•			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>30 June 2006</u> .						
2a)☐ ⁻	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌 🗄	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	on of Claims							
5)	Claim(s) 1,3-8 and 11-20 is/are pendi la) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-8 and 11-20 is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restricti	e withdrawn from co	nsideration.					
Application	on Papers							
10)⊠ T	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 27 April 2006 in Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	s/are: a)⊠ accepte ion to the drawing(s) b he correction is requir	e held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment((s)							
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/27/2006 has been entered.

Claims 1, 3-8, and 11-20 have been examined.

Claims 2, 9, and 10 have been cancelled.

Drawings

The drawings were received on 4/27/2006. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3-8, and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3679

It is unclear whether claims 1, 3-8,11, and 13-20 are drawn to the combination or subcombination. In particular, the preamble of each of the claims 1, 11, and 20 imply the subcombination of the fall protection device while lines 11-13 in the body of the claim positively includes the roof. For this Office action only, it will be considered that these claims are drawn to the subcombination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-8, and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pena (US Patent 5,820,497) in view of Willetts (US Patent 4,600,179).

As to Claims 1, 3, 7, 11-13, 17, 19, and 20. Pena discloses a fall protection device comprising:

at least one rail section having a plurality of vertical members (4) each having a lower end (9);

a plurality of horizontal members (13) connecting the vertical members;

a plurality of bearing feet (Figs 1 & 2) each having a connecting portion (5) and a bearing portion (6);

Application/Control Number: 10/784,139

Art Unit: 3679

wherein each bearing foot is secured to the lower end of one of said plurality of vertical members (Claim 1, Lines 3-6);

wherein the connecting portion (5) of the bearing foot is frusto-conical shaped;
wherein the frusto-conical shaped connecting portion engages the vertical
member

wherein a first material forming the bearing portion having a first material hardness greater than a second material hardness of a second material forming the connecting portion (Col 3, Lines 33-39); and

However Pena does not disclose a bearing portion being disc shaped and being co-molded of polypropylene having a circular outer periphery having a lower edge being rounded, an upper surface, and a lower surface parallel with the upper surface and spaced from the upper surface; wherein the lower surface of the bearing portion being capable of resting on the roof and the lower end of the vertical member engages the upper surface of the bearing portion being capable of supporting the vertical member above the roof so that the vertical members do not engage the roof; and the connection portion being resiliently deformed to secure the bearing foot to the vertical member with an interference fit and resist removal of the bearing foot from the vertical member.

Willetts teaches a post to rail connection having a bearing portion being disc shaped (52) and being co-molded of polypropylene (Col 3, Line 14) having a circular outer periphery having a lower edge being rounded (Fig 9), an upper surface, and a lower surface parallel with the upper surface and spaced from the upper surface (Figs 5 and 6); wherein the lower surface of the bearing portion being capable of resting on the

Art Unit: 3679

roof and the lower end of a vertical member is capable of engaging the upper surface of the bearing portion being capable of supporting the vertical member above the roof so that the vertical members do not engage the roof and a connection portion being resiliently deformed to secure the bearing foot to the vertical member with an interference fit and resist removal of the bearing foot from the vertical member and "to better seal against the entrance of moisture" (Col 3, Lines 23-24). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the bearing foot of Pena to have a resilient connecting portion and a disc shaped bearing portion as taught by Willets "to tightly hold the rung and seal its aperture to block any entrance of water inside the rail" (Col 3, Lines 20-31).

Pena also does not disclose the tube being metal. However, does state "that numerous materials can be used" (Col 3, Lines 33-34) for the construction of the posts and rails and it is noted that the selection of a known material based upon its suitability for the intended use is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the vertical posts of Pena to be metal to provide a sturdier frame.

As to Claim 4 and 14. Pena discloses each of the plurality of vertical members as in the form of a tube (Col 2, Line 67).

As to Claims 5 and 15. Pena discloses each bearing foot having an axially extending passage formed therein which extends through both the bearing portion and the connecting portion and communicates an interior space of a tube with ambient space outside the tube so that any liquid that enters the tube flows out of the bottom of the tube through the passage in the bearing foot by gravity (Figs 1 & 2).

Page 6

As to Claims 6 and 16. Pena discloses the connecting portion of the bearing foot extends into an open lower end of the tube (Figs 1 & 2) and resiliently engages an interior surface of the tube to secure the bearing foot thereto (Col 2, Lines 64-67; Col 3, Lines 1-11).

As to Claims 8 and 18. Pena in view of Willetts disclose the bearing portion having an upper surface and a lower surface spaced from the upper surface, but does not disclose the thickness of the bearing portion being at least 0.25 inches.

It is not inventive to state the optimum values of a thickness of the bearing portion. Although silent on the dimension, the device of Pena in view of Willetts inherently has a thickness relative to the size of the leg support. Through routine experimentation and optimization, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the leg support of Pena in view of Willetts to have the thickness of the bearing portion being at least 0.25 inches producing no new and unexpected results.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,139

Art Unit: 3679

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-8, 11-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua T. Kennedy whose telephone number is (571) 272-8297. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 7am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JŤK

8/17/2006

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 7